

**Correspondence relating to the opening of a
High School in Velur.**

26. Mr. T. K. Nayar.—Will the Government be pleased to state;—

(1) whether they received petitions from the Manager of "Durgavilasam Lower Secondary School," Velur, requesting sanction to start a High School there ;

(2) if the answer is in the affirmative, how many petitions did they receive;

(3) the dates on which the various petitions were sent by the Manager;

(4) did the Director of Public Instruction or any other officer visit the school in connection with these petitions ; if so, who ;

(5) did the officer who visited the school meet the Manager before submitting his report ;

(6) whether they would be pleased to place on the Table of this House copies of the various petitions received from the Manager of the school, the report submitted by the officer who visited the school and the orders passed by the Government ?

Rao Sahib Mr. C. Matthai.—(1) Yes.

(2) Six.

(3) The dates on which the petitions were sent by the Manager are not known ; but the petitions are seen dated 1st Meenam 1103, Nil, 7th Kumbham, 12th Medam, 15th Edavam and 27th Mithunam 1104 respectively.

(4) Yes. It was the Senior Inspector who visited the school.

(5) The Manager was not at the station when the Senior Inspector visited the school.

(6) Copies of the six petitions, the last report from the Senior Inspector and Director's last Proceedings on the matter are placed on the Council Table.

Mr. T. K. Nayar.—Sub-division (5). Did the Senior Inspector give intimation to the Manager before visiting the school ?

Rao Sahib Mr. C. Matthai.—I have no information on that point.

Mr. T. K. Nayar.—Was it without meeting the Manager that the report was submitted ?

Rao Sahib Mr. C. Matthai.—Evidently so.

Mr. T. K. Nayar.—After issuing the last order, there is a petition from the Manager, dated 27th Mithunam 1104. Was any reply given ?

Rao Sahib Mr. C. Matthai.—I have given him a reply. I have sent an order to the Senior Inspector and a reply to the Manager.

Mr. T. K. Nayar.—May I know what the reply was?

Rao Sahib Mr. C. Matthai.—That was about the opening of the Higher Forms next year. I said an early report may be made and necessary investigations conducted so that the Manager may be in possession of all the necessary information before it is too late to make arrangements.

Mr. T. K. Nayar.—It is reported by the Senior Inspector that all points have been met by the Manager in his petition regarding the financial stability, accommodation and all those things. It is the petition dated 27th Mithunam 1104. So, will there be any objection for the Government,.....

Rao Sahib Mr. C. Matthai.—It is not merely an undertaking on the part of the Manager that will satisfy the Department. The additional accommodation must be there before final sanction is given to the opening of the class. Merely an undertaking on the part of the Manager, it is not considered to be adequate.

Mr. T. K. Nayar.—So it is only after putting the necessary buildings that sanction is accorded.

Rao Sahib Mr. C. Matthai.—That is why I said that the inspection must be made early enough so that the Manager may have time to make the necessary arrangements.

Mr. T. K. Nayar.—Unless the Manager gets an assurance that sanction will be accorded,.....

President.—You are not to carry on arguments at the time of questions. Any other information wanted?

Mr. T. K. Nayar.—At least during the next school year,...

Rao Sahib Mr. C. Matthai.—Definite orders have been issued to the Senior Inspector and information has been given to the Manager. As soon as the Senior Inspector's report is sent, I will see to the situation and orders will be issued.

Mr. T. K. Nayar.—The copy placed on the Table is the last report from the Senior Inspector.

Rao Sahib Mr. C. Matthai.—It may be a future order.

27. Mr. T. K. Nayar.—Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(1) whether they asked the Manager of Durgavilasam Lower Secondary School to submit copies of the various petitions sent by him to the Government praying for sanction to start a High School and the orders passed thereon ;

(2) if the answer is in the affirmative, the date on which this order was sent ;

(3) was it after receiving my questions regarding the above school or before?

Rao Sahib Mr. C. Matthai.—(1) No.

(2) and (3). In view of the answer to (1) above, no reply is necessary.

Drafting of a Bill to amend the Cochin Tenancy Regulation.

28. Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—Will the Government be pleased to place on the Table of the House a copy of the Government Order requesting the Government Advocate to prepare a draft Bill to amend the Cochin Tenancy Regulation?

Mr. A. Sankara Menon.—The Member is referred to the Diwan's Proceedings, dated 28th April 1929, C. 59/1100, published on page 807 in Part I of the Cochin Government Gazette, dated 4th May 1929.

Officers who were given extensions and who were refused extensions.

29. Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—Will the Government be pleased to state the names of officers (1) who were given extension and (2) who were refused extension after the age-limit in 1104 and the reasons for doing so in each case?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—Information so far collected is appended. (*Vide Appendix V.*)

Request for the appointment of a Vendor in Pathiyaram Village.

30. Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—Will the Government be pleased to state if the Diwan Peishkar has received any petition, dated 25th Medam 1104, from Padinjarakara Pyloth Thoman and others requesting that a vendor may be appointed in Pathiyaram village for the sale of stamp papers, etc., if so, what is the petition and what action has been taken on the same?

(b) when was the request for a vendor in Pathiyaram first made to the Diwan Peishkar or the Government and how many petitions were received for the same;

(c) what are the objections, if any, for the grant of the request?

Mr. T. M. Krishna Menon.—(a) Yes; necessary enquiries were made and the correspondence recorded.

(b) 28th Karkadagam 1098. Eight.

(c) Want of scope for a full time vendor.